

Water Sustainable Act was passed Feb 12, 2015  
 a very modest charge to water users.

Water Watch Project gave mixed reviews, at best  
 it will only recover the cost of implementing the  
 act, excluding for the first time groundwater  
 regulations. Environment minister Mary Polak says  
 the new fee structure will give fairness and  
 affordability; but Ian Stephen of Water Watch  
 said the new rate will still be the lowest in  
 Canada. He supports the gov't's goal of giving  
 people equitable pricing & keep price for food  
 production low for such things as irrigation,  
 crop washing & greenhouse time. e.g. a farmer  
 with 100 cows will see annual licence <sup>fee</sup> from \$25  
 to \$50 - a 10A nursery farm currently paying  
 \$44 annually will increase to \$62. It's doubled  
 for some uses like fracking. It comes into effect  
 2016. Groundwater restrictions aren't always  
 properly accounted for as it doesn't go far enough  
 to protect water resources used by Industrial  
 Co's such as Nestlé.

This is the first time ever in BC that water  
 coming from our aquifer will come at a  
 cost - at least it's a positive first step.

2 Gravel removal by Seabird Island First Nation  
 is approved by both Federal & prov. bodies. A  
 land use licence was offered, and water act  
 approval was given by Minister of Forests, Land  
 & Natural Resources Operations on Feb 6. They will  
 be able to remove 105,000 cubic m of gravel from  
 the Fraser until March 15. A provincial

Minister stressed that any impact to the river habitat from the work will be monitored and compensated down the river - The Fraser River Stewardship Committee was checked, the main reason for not allowing it is habitat; but above all the venture is just for commercial gravel mining purposes. It is a terrible precedent. From access to Information and Privacy, the proposed gravel removal is solely for the purpose of obtaining construction aggregates & there are no known effective flood protection from it. The mine will significantly alter & disrupt stream habitat & change local hydrology, effect sedimentology at this location at least for fish & other species. The area is an extremely good juvenile Chinook habitat & will destroy this area without any meaningful mitigation or compensation. The area is also known for one of 2 known remaining white Sturgeon spawning areas in the lower Fraser, which area federal listed species at risk. It's an important spawning habitat based on sonar monitoring & assessment and the observation of substantial no. of mature skulls located in this area during 2013 & 14, spawning seasons. Egg & embryos have been captured in the site confirming that spawning does take place. The Fraser River Stewardship Committee is of the opinion that the mine will not only destroy juvenile Chinook salmon rearing habitat but also has potential to impact spawning & incubation habitat.

of white sturgeon. Young sturgeon born into the population of fish are already in steep decline, this may be due in part to extensive gravel mining over the last 20 yrs. They felt that mining in this location is like putting a gravel mine in the Bear Adams R where would famous Fraser R Suckup runs. spawn, why would the govt allow this precious piece of BC be destroyed. They are urging the authorities & agencies to refuse the application.

3. The independent govt ordered report on the Poly mine was released Feb 1, 2015. It found that the spill of silt & water into nearby lakes & rivers was caused by an inadequately designed dam that didn't allow for drainage and erosion failures associated with glacial till beneath the post - an inadequate design. Minister Bill Bennett ordered BC's chief inspector of mines to require all operating mines with similar tailings ponds to find out what kind of foundation material was under the dams. Herbert Margenstern, a geotechnical engineer who has worked on 140 dam projects world wide, said that not taking the glacial lake into account was like loading a gun <sup>up</sup> & building with a steep slope <sup>&</sup> pulled the trigger; so these 2 things were the root cause of the failure. Bennett said no one knew that the material was there or didn't understand the character of it. He said the govt would act on all recommendations the panel gave - that the province move to eliminate such water impoundments across the province both in new & closed mines & called for dry disposal of tailings. For tailings lakes to work everything

has to go right all the time from, but human error inevitably happens; could be insignificant movement, or undetected weakness in foundation or by over-topping, or internal erosion, and many catastrophic and mine drainage; So that's why they called on the pros to give up on ~~these~~ <sup>this</sup> archaic tailings dam technology. Much of the world has moved toward modern alternatives such as <sup>are</sup> ~~are~~ <sup>are</sup> ~~are~~ established in Alaska, Chile and other places. BC has not. So far nothing has been done.

4 On the bright side we've had 2 new baby ones born recently. They do have a high mortality, about 1/2 don't make it thru the first year but it's still great news.

There are several animals on the special concern list - the Northern Pacific ratter in the Okanogan region. The burrowing owl is one on the <sup>most</sup> endangered bird list in the 4 western provinces. The badger is also one of Canada's most endangered species.

Short <sup>tailed</sup> weasels who live in BC's interior & lower mainland etc at risk on Vancouver Island & Haida Gwaii. Walrus are also endangered as they need huge areas to support a breeding pop - the remaining strongholds are in the Yukon & the wilds of BC - The Pacific water shrew is at risk due to habitat loss from urban development & logging - it lives in streams, wetlands - lakes, beaches and other water bodies from Hope to W Vancouver.

This past week they've been talking about the

seagulls falling by  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the last 30 yrs.  
Diet being the main reason - <sup>they</sup> can eat oyster  
but it seems they're relying too much on gull  
so they're not getting enough of what they should  
have. - historically they were mostly on a  
marine diet so it's felt that areas with high  
protein food must be critical. They can stay alive  
but it shows up in the production of offspring.  
It's vital that more focus is put on restoration  
measures along the coast including fish  
populations.